

**CSI Nihilent e-Governance (CNeG) Awards 2018**  
**Projects Sustenance Awards Category Template**

**[For CNEA 2012-13 Project Category Award of Excellence winners Only]**

*The completed form should be emailed to [csiegov2018@gmail.com](mailto:csiegov2018@gmail.com) on or before 24th, August 2017*

**Nomination form**

1	<b>The title of the e-Government initiative being nominated</b> [Please furnish the title]
2	The Internet web address where details of the initiative are available: www.

<b>SECTION-I</b>		
<b>Contact Information</b>		
3	<b>Contact Official details</b>	
	Name of the official	
	Designation	
	e-mail	
	Address	
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Mobile	
4	<b>Please furnish the contact details of the NOMINATING AUTHORITY on whose behalf this nomination is being filed</b>	
	Name of the Official	
	Designation	
	e-mail	
	Address	
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Mobile	
5	<b>Is the Nominating Authority authorised to nominate the e-Government initiative?</b>	Yes / No

<b>Section II</b>	
Project specific general information	
6	Give a brief overview of the project, with focus on specific initiatives that enabled sustenance in less than 500 words.
7	To your knowledge, are there any plans to replace the existing e-Government application with a new one? [ YES or NO ]
8	If your answer is YES, please describe the key reasons and plans to cut over to a new system – including the time frames
9	Is this initiative replicated in any form at the National or State level? [ YES or NO ]
10	Is this e-Government application covered under e-Kranti? [YES / NO]
11	To your knowledge, are there similar e-Governance initiative[s] in use elsewhere conceptualised and implemented by different stakeholders? [ YES or NO ]

<b>Section-III</b>		
<b>FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY</b>		
12	The business model for sustaining the initiative from funding perspective	
	(a) Government financed initiative (b) PPP funded by periodic payment from the Government (c) PPP funded by user charges collected from the Citizens (d) PPP funded by a combination of user charges and Payments from the Government (e) Others [describe]	Please indicate model (a), (b), (c), (d) OR (e)
		2012-13
		2017-18
13	If the initiative requires Government financial support, please furnish TOTAL financial outlay	
	2012-13 Rs. in Lakhs	2017-18 Rs. in Lakhs

<b>Section-IV</b>		
<b>BUSINESS CASE SUSTAINABILITY</b>		
14	Are the benefits derived by Stakeholders in 2016-17 improved when compared to 2012-13? YES or NO	
15	IF the answer is YES, list the top three benefits derived by Stakeholders now from the Initiative, which were NOT available in 2012-13	
	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
16	In case there is any third party Impact Assessment Study on project outcomes done, give the name of agency and year of study.	
17	Services and number of Transactions covered under the Project	
	Year	No of Services
		Volume of Transactions during the year

	2012-13		
	2017-18		
18	List top three areas where the functionality of the services has improved over previous years, specifically with reference to 2013-14 and briefly elaborate.		
	1.		
	2.		
	3.		

<b>Section-V</b>					
<b>TECHNOLOGICAL SUSTAINABILITY</b>					
19	Compared to 2012-13, which of following statements reflect the position in 2017-18?				
		<u>Underline</u> the response of your choice			
[i]	CT profile of the Project which won earlier is characterised by <b>significant changes/ improvements</b> in the five year period.	Agree	Disagree	Currently being Planned	Not applicable
[ii]	<i>Software components</i> [database, operating system etc] have <b>regularly</b> been updated with version changes and bug fixes etc	Agree	Disagree	Currently being Planned	Not applicable
[iii]	<i>Hardware components</i> [memory, hard disk size, processors etc] have <b>regularly</b> been updated	Agree	Disagree	Currently being Planned	Not applicable
[iv]	award winning project has kept pace with developments in the area of web / browser technologies	Agree	Disagree	Currently being Planned	Not applicable
[v]	award winning project has kept pace with developments in the area of mobile / social network technologies	Agree	Disagree	Currently being Planned	Not applicable
20	Major technology/ architecture changes that have been implemented to the award winning project during the five years between 2012-13 and 2017-18, may kindly be specified.				

<b>Section-VI</b>
<b>Organizational Sustainability</b>

21	Comparing 2012-13 and 2017-18, which of following statements provide the right profile of the initiative?				
		<u>Underline</u> the response of your choice			
[i]	<u>Original sponsors / initiators</u> of the project <i>continue to serve in the same organisation</i>	Agree	Disagree		
[ii]	Priority of the <u>original Technical personnel [the IT team]</u> of the project <i>continue to be associated with it in 2017-18</i>	Agree	Disagree		
[iii]	Original third party Software related IT vendor[s] – if applicable- of the project <i>continue to be associated with it in 2017-18</i>	Agree	Disagree		
[iv]	Original sponsors of the project are no longer associated with the project, how often have there been changes at that level	Once	Twice	thrice	More than thrice
[v]	Original <u>Technical personnel [the IT team]</u> of the project are no longer associated with the project, how often have there been changes at that level	Once	Twice	thrice	More than thrice
22	List top 3-4 Organizational level <b>enablers</b> that helped sustain the project over these years and present their impact/outcome.				